Analysing Research on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP): A Bibliometric Approach to Global Trends

Md. Mosiur Rahman [a,b++], Md. Mostafizur Rahman [b#], Nazma Khatun [c†] and A K M Kanak Pervez [b‡*]

[a] *Administration and Finance Wing, Department of Agricultural Extension, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Bangladesh.*
[b] *Department of Agronomy and Agricultural Extension, Faculty of Agriculture University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh.*
[c] *Baraigram Govt. Honours College, Baraigram, Natore, Bangladesh.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

[++] *Deputy Director (LR); and PhD Fellow*
[#] *Professor and Chairman;*
[†] *Lecturer;*
[‡] *Professor;*
Corresponding author: E-mail: kp@ru.ac.bd;

ABSTRACT

This study conducts a comprehensive bibliometric analysis of research on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP), using Scopus data to explore the chronological and thematic development of the topic from 1964 to 2024. GAP, established to guarantee food safety, environmental sustainability, and economic viability in agriculture, has achieved international acclaim. Nonetheless, investigations on the subject remain disjointed across agronomy, environmental science, and food safety. Good Agricultural Practices study is crucial in discussing global problems like climate change and food security. GAP is a framework to increase sustainable agricultural productivity, minimise the environmental implications, and make production systems resilient to the increasing global challenges. Through an analysis of the research trends, this study emphasises the critical importance of GAP in shaping a sustainable future for agriculture globally. This analysis utilises bibliometric tools like VOS Viewer and Biblioshiny to synthesise and visualise significant contributions to GAP research. 1,732 papers were examined to ascertain prominent authors, major nations, and significant topic trends. The findings indicate a growing emphasis on sustainable crop management, food safety regulations, and the incorporation of new technology like precision agriculture into Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) and also show how most of the published evidence on the potential of GAP to help reduce waste in food processing is for developed regions whereas, in developing regions challenges such as limited access to financial resources, lack of knowledge dissemination, and poor infrastructure hamper the proper application of GAP. It's crucial to face these socio-economic challenges to ensure equitable and sustainable agricultural development worldwide. A notable study deficiency remains in investigations centered on developing regions where GAP might exert the most substantial influence. Moreover, socio-economic obstacles, especially those encountered by smallholder farmers in implementing GAP, remain little examined. The study underscores the necessity for more investigation into the long-term impacts of GAP, particularly concerning climate change and global food security. This analysis identifies research gaps and emerging trends, establishing a basis for future studies and policy measures to promote sustainable farming practices globally. There are some limitations, such as the dependency on the Scopus database. Therefore, all the related studies indexed in other databases would not be included. Moreover, the English-language publication bias may mean valuable research done in non-English speaking countries is obscure, leading to potentially neglected global patterns.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) has evolved into a comprehensive strategy addressing critical agricultural challenges, such as food safety, environmental sustainability, and rural development (Siebrecht, 2020a). Initially established by global organisations like the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), GAP standards have been widely adopted to standardise agricultural practices in developed and developing regions (Amekawa et al., 2022). GAP aims to create a balanced agricultural system that can respond to external challenges, including climate change and market instability, by focusing on four key pillars: economic viability, environmental sustainability, social equity, and food safety.

The literature on GAP is comprehensive and diverse, encompassing agronomy, food safety, economics, and environmental sciences (Sparling et al., 2021). As a result, researchers often face challenges in integrating data from many fields, leading to disjointed information and a limited understanding of how GAP influences different agricultural systems (Pervez et al., 2016). Bibliometric analysis may alleviate this fragmentation by offering a comprehensive evaluation of existing literature, tracking scholarly contributions, and outlining the evolution of GAP research over time (Zhao et al., 2023).

Scopus, a comprehensive abstract and citation database, is an ideal platform for bibliometric research due to its vast coverage of peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and other academic resources. This study used Scopus data to systematically chart research output on GAP, identify leading authors, institutions, and countries in the field, and analyse citation trends to assess the impact of

key articles. This study will discern emerging patterns and deficiencies in the literature by assessing significant phrases and thematic clusters, providing a framework for future research.

Given the worldwide agricultural challenges, particularly those related to climate change, resource limitations, and population growth, understanding the advancement of GAP research is crucial (Viana et al., 2022). This bibliometric analysis will yield essential insights into the research and use of GAP across many agricultural systems worldwide, aiding policymakers, practitioners, and scholars in promoting sustainable agricultural growth. This project will improve understanding of GAP's importance in advancing sustainable agriculture and addressing global food security challenges by clarifying the existing knowledge base.

1.1 Specific Objectives of the Article

- To examine the global trends in research on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) through a bibliometric analysis.
- To identify the most influential authors, institutions, journals, and countries contributing to the field of GAP.
- To analyze the thematic focus areas and key research clusters within GAP literature.
- To assess the evolution of GAP research in addressing critical global challenges such as climate change, food security, and sustainable agriculture.
- To highlight gaps in the literature, particularly in developing regions, and identify socio-economic and infrastructural challenges hindering GAP adoption.
- To provide insights and recommendations for future research directions to strengthen the implementation of GAP globally.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The notion of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) has received international recognition as a strategy to promote agricultural sustainability, environmental preservation, and food safety (Bertola et al., 2021). Numerous studies indicate that GAP frameworks offer systematic advice that assists farmers in implementing methods that reduce environmental degradation while improving productivity. Soil fertility management, water-use efficiency, and integrated pest management (IPM) are essential elements of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) that promote sustainable agriculture.

Literature indicates that although GAP has been effectively implemented in affluent countries, its acceptance in underdeveloped ones is inconsistent (Eriksen et al., 2021). Factors including inadequate infrastructure, financial limitations, and restricted technical expertise hinder farmers from fully adopting GAP. Research indicates that surmounting these obstacles may substantially enhance agricultural productivity and livelihoods, especially in areas where subsistence farming is prevalent (Khatam et al., 2013). Furthermore, GAP has demonstrated its role in enhancing food safety standards, which is becoming increasingly vital in global commerce and export regulations.

The significance of certification methods related to GAP is prevalent in the literature. GAP accreditation offers farmers competitive benefits, especially in gaining access to premium markets that need compliance with rigorous quality criteria (De Lima et al., 2023). Nevertheless, smallholder farmers frequently encounter obstacles in acquiring these certificates due to elevated expenses and bureaucratic impediments, underscoring the necessity for governmental initiatives that promote equal access to GAP advantages.

Recent research has examined using digital tools and precision agricultural technology with Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) to improve its efficacy (Onyango et al., 2021). Digital platforms provide real-time guidance, data acquisition, and monitoring systems, allowing farmers to execute Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) more effectively. The capacity of technology to improve GAP adoption and results is a burgeoning field of inquiry, especially regarding climate change and its effects on agriculture.

The current literature highlights the essential function of GAP in advancing sustainable agriculture and food security (Chouhan et al., 2021). It underscores the socio-economic hurdles and technological deficiencies that must be resolved to facilitate wider and more egalitarian adoption of GAP, especially in developing nations. Additional study is required to investigate the long-term effects of GAP on smallholder farmers, environmental sustainability, and global food chains (Beck-O'Brien & Bringezu, 2021).

Fig. 1. The research methodology for this article

3. METHODOLOGY

In several fields of study, researchers have used thorough scientific mapping approaches. Other statistical and graphical methods are available; one attractive open-source R package with user-friendly web apps is called Biblioshiny. Additionally, VOS Viewer, a powerful visualisation tool for building and visualising bibliometric networks, is employed (Khanam et al., 2023). The Scopus database, which spanned 1964 through 2024, was used for this research. The Scopus is a well-recognised bibliographic information source among scientists (Kabir et al. 2024). In the first phase, we gathered 1755 papers using the keywords "Good Agricultural Practices". After duplicates were removed, 1732 articles remained for the bibliometric examination of each paper's entire record and referred references. The search was conducted on September 21, 2024. Fig. 1 presents the five-step detailed research approach.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Description of the Data

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the dataset, spanning 1964 to 2024, incorporating contributions from 867 sources, including journals and books, resulting in a total of 1,732 documents. Despite this extensive dataset, the annual growth rate remains at 0%, and the average document age is 8.23 years. Each document averages 17.71 citations, amounting to 71,684 references across all publications. The dataset contains 6,496 "Keywords Plus" (ID) and 4,605 "Author's Keywords" (DE). There are 6,079 contributing writers, with 206 creating single-authored works, amounting to 221 publications. Each manuscript had an average of 4.36 co-authors, with 20.55% demonstrating international co-authorships, indicating strong collaboration. The document types include 1,159 articles, 195 book chapters, 196 conference papers, 154 reviews, and smaller categories such as editorials, data papers, and notes, reflecting diverse contributions. The timeframe of 1964-2024 was selected to cover six decades of literature and provide a holistic overview of the research trends associated with Good Agricultural Practices (GAP). This span coincides with the emerging development of GAP concepts in contrast to world agricultural and environmental concerns. 1964 represents the earliest published research in the discipline, while 2024 represents the endpoint at which newer studies will ensure the analysis includes ongoing continuing studies and advancements. This wide time frame allows for recognising long-term trends, critical transitions, and emerging patterns in GAP research. It also enables a firm evaluation of how GAP has adapted to shifting priorities in sustainable agriculture and global food security.

245

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for the data

Description	Results
Main Information About Data	
Timespan	1964:2024
Sources (Journals, Books, etc.)	867
Documents	1732
Annual Growth Rate %	0
Document Average Age	8.23
Average citations per doc	17.71
References	71684
Document Contents	
Keywords Plus (ID)	6496
Author's Keywords (DE)	4605
Authors	
Authors	6079
Authors of single-authored docs	206
AUTHORS COLLABORATION	
Single-authored docs	221
Co-Authors per Doc	4.36
International co-authorships %	20.55
Document Types	
article	1159
book	8
book chapter	195
conference paper	196
conference review	2
data paper	2
editorial	5
letter	3
note	5
review	154
short survey	3

4.2 Publication Progression

Fig. 2 illustrates a line graph representing the annual publication count of publications from 1964 to 2025. The y-axis denotes the quantity of articles, whilst the x-axis illustrates the history across these years. The trend stays mostly stable, with few publications from 1964 until the mid-1990s and a significant rise thereafter. Beginning in 2000, the quantity of articles consistently increased, with a pronounced acceleration noted from 2010 onwards. The quantity of articles reaches its zenith in 2021 before significantly declining by 2025. This graph illustrates a notable rise in scholarly publications throughout recent decades and a steep decrease in the most recent year.

4.3 Influential Journals

Fig. 3 depicts the cumulative frequency of publications from six distinct sources from 1964 to 2025. The magazine Acta Horticulturae (red) has the most substantial rise, with a marked increase starting about 2000 and approaching 100 total occurrences by 2025. The EFSA Journal (yellow) began gaining popularity in 2008, progressively increasing to roughly 50 occurrences. Food Control (green) started its contributions in 1996, with a consistent increase, especially post-2006, culminating in approximately 60 occurrences. The IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (blue) commenced data collection in 2010 and exhibits a gradual increase, surpassing 40 occurrences by 2025. The Journal of Food Protection (cyan) started in 1996, exhibiting the same development trajectory, culminating in about 40 occurrences. Finally, Sustainability (Switzerland) (purple) begins later, approximately in 2016, exhibiting a more gradual rise by 2025, with fewer instances than the other sources. The graph illustrates the diverse publishing tendencies of the journals, with "Acta

Horticulturae" exhibiting the most significant increase.

4.4 Author's Outputs

Fig. 4 displays a horizontal bar graph illustrating the leading writers according to the number of submitted papers. The y-axis enumerates the writers, whilst the x-axis delineates the quantity of documents. Hu J has authored 19 papers, while Santos M has authored 17. Authors Greco L, Jarrah S, Leuschner R, Miron I, Pedersen R, Reich H, and Theobald A produced 16 documents. Ultimately, Brancato A has produced 15 papers. The diameter of the circles at the terminus of each bar visually signifies the number of papers, with larger circles denoting a greater number of contributions. This graph illustrates the primary contributors to the dataset, with Hu J ranked first.


**Fig. 2. Annual progression of publications (1964-2024)**

Fig. 3. Influential journals

Fig. 4. Most relevant authors in good agricultural practice

4.5. Author Efficiency using Lotka's Law

Fig. 5 illustrates a curve depicting the distribution of writers according to the quantity of documents they have authored. The x-axis denotes the quantity of documents authored, whilst the y-axis indicates the proportion of writers. The solid and dashed lines exhibit a dramatic drop initially, signifying that most writers have produced just a limited number of papers. Approximately 80% of the writers have submitted between 1 and 3 documents. Subsequently, the curve exhibits a pronounced flattening, indicating that a few writers have produced more than five documents. The distribution indicates that a few writers account for most of the documents, but most authors contribute minimally.

4.6 Countries of Corresponding Authors

Fig. 6 depicts the distribution of published documents by country, classified according to collaboration type: MCP (Multiple Country Publications) and SCP (Single Country Publications). China is first in the number of publications, followed by the United States and India. In each instance, the blue bars signify SCPs, whereas the red bars indicate MCPs. In most nations, SCPs prevail, signifying that a considerable volume of research articles is generated locally without international collaboration. The United States, India, and China own a significant proportion of MCPs, indicating robust international collaboration in

research. Countries such as Brazil, Thailand, and Indonesia have substantial contributions but with a greater fraction of SCPs. The graphic illustrates the worldwide distribution of scientific contributions, with China and the USA emerging as the most prolific in single and multi-country publications.

4.7 Scientific Production by Countries

The map depicts the global distribution of scientific output. The nations are colour-coded to signify their proportional contribution to the scientific output. Darker blue hues signify elevated levels of scientific output, whilst lighter hues denote diminished levels. The chart indicates that the United States and China are the foremost nations in scientific production, succeeded by India, Japan, Germany, the United Kingdom, France, South Korea, Canada, and Italy. Countries such as Brazil, Russia, Australia, Spain, and Mexico have substantial scientific production. Nevertheless, several locations, especially in Africa and certain areas of Asia, demonstrate very low levels of scientific output.

4.8 Country Collaboration

Fig. 8 illustrates a worldwide network of research relationships. The map has lines linking nations, indicating the prevalence of joint research activity among them. The width of each line signifies the strength of this cooperation. The United States,

248

China, and several European nations appear as crucial centres in this network, with extensive linkages to other countries. The visualisation underscores the increasing interconnection of scientific research worldwide, with cooperation extending across continents and regions.

4.9 Active Institutions

Fig. 9 displays a bar chart listing the ten leading universities according to the volume of published research publications. The horizontal axis denotes the number of articles, whilst the vertical axis enumerates the names of the organisations. Ghent University leads with 67 published publications, succeeded by the University of Science and Technology Beijing with 54 articles. Additional institutions inside the top 10 are Chiang Mai University, China Agricultural University, Anand Agricultural University, Kasetsart University, Agricultural Research Centre, Wageningen University, and the University of Nairobi. The figure illustrates the comparative contributions of different institutions to the research output in the designated subject of study.


**Fig. 5. Productivity of authors using Lotka's rule**

Fig. 6. Corresponding 'authors' countries

Fig. 7. The countries and their production

Fig. 8. Collaboration among the countries

4.10 Network Analysis and Trend Word Analysis

4.10.1 Co-occurrence network of the keywords

Fig. 10 illustrates a network visualisation of scholarly papers about Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 2: Zero Hunger. The nodes in the network signify keywords derived from the publications, whilst the edges linking them denote the co-occurrence of these keywords inside the same texts. The dimensions of each node reflect the frequency of the corresponding keyword, while the hue indicates its semantic category. The centre node, "agriculture," underscores the primary focus of the research,

with interrelated terms such as "pesticide residue," "risk assessment," "chemistry," and "human" constituting significant clusters. The network elucidates the several subjects encompassed by SDG 2, such as "food contamination," "nonhuman," "microbiology," and "food handling." The visualisation offers a comprehensive picture of the knowledge ecosystem of SDG 2, highlighting critical research topics and potential links among various study subjects.

4.10.2 Trend topics

Fig. 11 displays a word cloud representation of the most prevalent phrases associated with the subject of "Trend Topics." The words are

organised to show their relative significance, with larger terms signifying greater frequency. The word cloud is segmented into separate portions, each denoting a specific subtopic within the overarching theme. Notable terms encompass "Germany," "Greece," "United Kingdom," "*Triticum Aestivum,*" "Herbaceous Agent," "Oos Taurus," "World Health Organization," "Drug Contamination," "Drug Products," "Drug Quality," "Europe," "Herbicides," "Standard," "Safety," "Netherlands," "Eastern Hemisphere," "Western Europe," and "Manure." The visualisation offers a graphical overview of the principal themes and subjects addressed in "Trend Topics."

Fig. 9. Most relevant affiliations

**Fig. 10. Co-Occurance network of the keyword**

Fig. 11. Trend topic in good agricultural practices

5. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Notwithstanding the significant study on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP), some deficiencies in the literature remain that require attention for a thorough comprehension and more efficient use of GAP (Siebrecht, 2020b). A significant disparity exists in the regional distribution of research on GAP. Although wealthy countries and regions with robust agricultural infrastructure possess an abundance of studies, research from emerging nations, especially in Africa, South Asia, and Latin America, remains scarce despite the significance of agricultural practices for food security and livelihoods in these areas. The absence of localised research obstructs the formulation of region-specific GAP solutions that address the distinct environmental, social, and economic problems encountered by farmers in these areas (Mojid & Mainuddin, 2021).

Moreover, current research frequently emphasises the technical and environmental advantages of GAP, while it pays little attention to the socio-economic obstacles to its implementation, especially for smallholder farmers. Challenges include market access, budgetary limitations, insufficient knowledge or training, and the substantial expense of GAP certification. Investigating the socio-economic determinants affecting the adoption of GAP is crucial for comprehending how to enhance the accessibility and sustainability of these practices for small-scale farmers.

A further domain for future investigation is the enduring effect of GAP on productivity, environmental sustainability, and rural livelihoods. Although most studies emphasise the immediate advantages of implementing GAP, there is a paucity of research about its long-term implications, especially regarding climate change resistance and economic sustainability for farmers. Furthermore, the impact of technology and innovation on improving the efficiency and effectiveness of GAP practices is yet inadequately examined. Digital instruments like precision agriculture, data analytics, and mobile applications can enhance the implementation and oversight of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP); nevertheless, their amalgamation with conventional agricultural methods remains nascent.

Subsequent studies should concentrate on policy frameworks and governance structures that facilitate the execution of GAP (Kanger et al., 2020). There is a necessity for research that evaluates the efficacy of governmental policies, subsidy initiatives, and international frameworks in facilitating GAP adoption. Furthermore, analysing the function of public-private partnerships in promoting GAP adoption, especially in resource-limited environments, may yield insights into scalable solutions for sustainable agriculture.

In summary, tackling these research gaps necessitates a multidisciplinary strategy integrating socio-economic, technical, and policy perspectives. Subsequent research should emphasise under-represented areas, investigate long-term effects, assess the influence of future technologies, and analyse the policy landscape to guarantee the effective worldwide implementation of GAP (Forster et al., 2020). Researchers and policymakers may promote more sustainable agriculture methods that improve global food security and environmental health by addressing these deficiencies.

6. CONCLUSION

The bibliometric study of Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) literature utilising Scopus data offers a thorough picture of research trends, primary focal points, and current deficiencies in the field. The study indicates a growing scholarly focus on GAP, especially in areas where sustainable agriculture is and prioritised due to environmental and economic concerns. The majority of research has focused on the environmental advantages of GAP, including soil health, water management, and agricultural yield, while also emphasising the obstacles to its implementation, particularly in poor nations.

Nevertheless, the analysis also revealed significant deficiencies in the literature. Research on the socioeconomic impediments to adopting Good Agricultural Practices (GAP), especially among smallholder farmers, is still insufficient. Furthermore, additional research is necessary to examine the long-term effects of GAP on agricultural production, climate change resilience, and the livelihoods of rural populations (Pervez et al., 2024). The disproportionate geographic distribution of research reveals that several developing nations where GAP adoption is critically required are inadequately represented in existing academic literature.

Future research must be prioritising these neglected domains and concentrate on incorporating technical advancements, tackling socio-economic issues, and formulating governmental frameworks that facilitate the broader use of GAP. By addressing these deficiencies, researchers can aid in formulating more efficacious and region-specific GAP solutions that boost agricultural output while promoting environmental sustainability and socio-economic welfare.

In conclusion, bibliometric analyses are crucial for understanding the field of GAP study and can guide future research toward the most significant topics for global sustainable agricultural progress.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Amekawa, Y., Bumrungsri, S., Wayo, K., Gebre, G. G., & Hongsibsong, S. (2022). Pesticide Use under Public Good Agricultural Practices Standard: A Comparative Study in Thailand. *Agriculture*, *12*(5), 606. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12050606

Beck-O'Brien, M., & Bringezu, S. (2021). Biodiversity Monitoring in Long-Distance Food Supply Chains: Tools, Gaps and Needs to Meet Business Requirements and Sustainability Goals. *Sustainability*, *13*(15), 8536. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158536

Bertola, M., Ferrarini, A., & Visioli, G. (2021). Improvement of Soil Microbial Diversity through Sustainable Agricultural Practices and Its Evaluation by -Omics Approaches: A Perspective for the Environment, Food Quality and Human Safety. *Microorganisms*, *9*(7), 1400. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9071400

Chouhan, G. K., Verma, J. P., Jaiswal, D. K., Mukherjee, A., Singh, S., De Araujo Pereira, A. P., Liu, H., Abd_Allah, E. F., & Singh, B. K. (2021). Phytomicrobiome for promoting sustainable agriculture and food security: Opportunities, challenges, and solutions. *Microbiological Research*, *248*, 126763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2021.126763

De Lima, F. A., Neutzling, D. M., Seuring, S., Kumar, V., & Bossle, M. B. (2023). Analysing the implications of organic

standardisation and certification in alternative food networks: The capability approach. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, *32*(4), 1547–1562. https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12561

Eriksen, S., Schipper, E. L. F., Scoville-Simonds, M., Vincent, K., Adam, H. N., Brooks, N., Harding, B., Khatri, D., Lenaerts, L., Liverman, D., Mills-Novoa, M., Mosberg, M., Movik, S., Muok, B., Nightingale, A., Ojha, H., Sygna, L., Taylor, M., Vogel, C., & West, J. J. (2021). Adaptation interventions and their effect on vulnerability in developing countries: Help, hindrance or irrelevance? *World Development*, *141*, 105383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105383

Forster, J., Vaughan, N. E., Gough, C., Lorenzoni, I., & Chilvers, J. (2020). Mapping feasibilities of greenhouse gas removal: Key issues, gaps and opening up assessments. *Global Environmental Change*, *63*, 102073. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102073

Kabir M. S., Pervez, A. K. M. K., Jahan M. N., Khan M. T. A., Kabiraj, U.K. (2024). Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in Agricultural Sciences: A Bibliometric Analysis Study Based on Scopus Database. *African Journal of Biological Sciences*.6(15), 14335-14357. https://doi.org/10.48047/AFJBS.6.15.2024.14335-14357

Kanger, L., Sovacool, B. K., & Noorkõiv, M. (2020). Six policy intervention points for sustainability transitions: A conceptual framework and a systematic literature review. *Research Policy*, *49*(7), 104072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2020.104072

Khanam, M., Pervez, A. K. M., Haque, M. A., Rahman, M. M., & Kabir, M. S. (2023). Bibliometric Analysis of Farmers' Rural-Urban Migration Based on the Scopus Platform. *South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics*, *20*(3), 93-103. https://doi.org/10.9734/sajsse/2023/v20i3715

Khatam, A., S. Muhammad, I. Ashraf, and A. K. M. K. Pervez. "Effectiveness of group contact methods in diffusion of agricultural technologies among the farming community." Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences 3 (2013): 264-268.

Mojid, M. A., & Mainuddin, M. (2021). Water-Saving Agricultural Technologies: Regional Hydrology Outcomes and Knowledge Gaps in the Eastern Gangetic Plains—A Review. *Water*, *13*(5), 636. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13050636

Onyango, C. M., Nyaga, J. M., Wetterlind, J., Söderström, M., & Piikki, K. (2021). Precision Agriculture for Resource Use Efficiency in Smallholder Farming Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review. *Sustainability*, *13*(3), 1158. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031158

Pervez, A. K., Ishida, A., Kabir, M. S., & Tang, L. (2024). Migration Intention of Rural Farmers to Urban Areas in Bangladesh and Its Determinants: A Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling Approach. *Societies*, *14*(7), 99. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc14070099

Pervez, A., Gao, Q., & Uddin, M.-E. (2016). The Management of Agricultural Risk in Bangladesh: A Proposed Process. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, *12*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.9734/AJAEES/2016/27057

Siebrecht, N. (2020a). Sustainable Agriculture and Its Implementation Gap—Overcoming Obstacles to Implementation. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), 3853. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093853

Siebrecht, N. (2020b). Sustainable Agriculture and Its Implementation Gap—Overcoming Obstacles to Implementation. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), 3853. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093853

Sparling, T. M., White, H., Boakye, S., John, D., & Kadiyala, S. (2021). Understanding Pathways Between Agriculture, Food Systems, and Nutrition: An Evidence and Gap Map of Research Tools, Metrics, and Methods in the Last 10 Years. *Advances in Nutrition*, *12*(4), 1122–1136. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmaa158

Viana, C. M., Freire, D., Abrantes, P., Rocha, J., & Pereira, P. (2022). Agricultural land systems importance for supporting food security and sustainable development goals: A systematic review. *Science of The Total Environment*, *806*, 150718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150718

Zhao, L., Yang, M. M., Wang, Z., & Michelson, G. (2023). Trends in the Dynamic Evolution of

Corporate Social Responsibility and Leadership: A Literature Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *Journal of Business* *Ethics*, *182*(1), 135–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05035-y